Earthquake Checks according to

EN 1998-1 DIN EN 1998-1 DIN 4149 OENORM B 4015 SIA 261





The description of program functions within this documentation should not be considered a warranty of product features. All warranty and liability claims arising from the use of this documentation are excluded.

InfoGraph® is a registered trademark of InfoGraph GmbH, Aachen, Germany. The manufacturer and product names mentioned below are trademarks of their respective owners.

This documentation is copyright protected. Reproduction, duplication, translation or electronic storage of this document or parts thereof is subject to the written permission of InfoGraph GmbH.

InfoGraph® Software uses Microsoft® MFC and Intel® MKL Libraries.

© InfoGraph GmbH, January 2024. All rights reserved.

Title image: Eigenmode of a high-rise with a response spectrum based on DIN 4149:2005 Courtesy of Nees & Otten, Beratende Ingenieure GmbH, Germany.

# Contents

Basics	3
Equations of Motion	3
Integration of the Equations of Motion	3
Undamped Natural Frequency Problem	4
Modal Analysis	5
System Excitation Caused by Soil Acceleration	5
Response Spectrum Method	6
Pushover Analysis EN 1998-1:2013 and DIN EN 1998-1:2021	16
Input	17
Analysis Settings	17
Point Masses	21
Generate Masses From Loads	21
Alternative Response Spectrum	22
Examples	23
Linked Two-Mass Oscillator	23
Earthquake Check According to DIN EN 1998-1:2021	25
Earthquake Check of a Bridge Pier According to the ZPA Method	32
Pushover Analysis of a Four-storey Reinforced Concrete Frame	34
References	38

# Dynamics

Use this extension module to directly perform dynamic analyses for existing FEM-projects with the following features:

- Dynamic analysis of 3D and 2D beam, cable and shell structures as well as solid models of any geometry or load.
  Determination of eigenvalues and eigenvectors using subspace iteration. User-defined adjustment of the size of the
- Determination of eigenvalues and eigenvectors using subspace iteration. User-defined adjustment of the size of the iteration vector space and the iteration accuracy.
- Representation of point and distributed mass distributions. Simultaneous system load by exciters of different frequencies.
- Solution of the equations of motion in modal coordinates with subsequent mode superposition for periodic or instationary load-time functions. You can specify the integration range and the size of the time steps. For each time step, all deformation and load values are available. These can be passed on to post-processing programs for load case combination, design or stress determination.
- Direct integration of the equations of motion for any load-time function. Rayleigh's system damping and point dampers can be represented through the definition of a complete damping matrix. This analysis method can also be used for systems with non-linear properties such as failure of tension supports, non-linear springs, 2nd or 3rd order theory, cable dynamics and dynamic element collapse. This analysis method can also be used for systems with non-linear properties such as failure of tension, 2nd or 3rd order theory, cable dynamics and dynamic element collapse. This analysis method can also be used for systems with non-linear properties such as failure of tension supports, non-linear springs, 2nd or 3rd order theory, cable dynamics and dynamic element collapse.
- Determination of the system response ( $U_{re'}$ ,  $U_{im'}$ , abs(U), abs(DU), abs(DDU), *phase*) to periodic excitation for a chosen stress-frequency range or for an excitation spectrum. Soil acceleration as well as direct structure loads can be taken into account.
- Evaluation of the response spectra according to EN 1998-1 (Eurocode 8), DIN EN 1998-1:2021, DIN 4149:1981, DIN 4149:2005, OENORM B 4015 or SIA 261 and superposition of the internal forces and displacements according to statistical laws. Application of user-defined response spectra.
- Determination of static horizontal loads and calculation of the corresponding equivalent mass  $m^*$  and of the transformation coefficient  $\Gamma$  for a nonlinear pushover analysis according to EN 1998-1:2013 or DIN EN 1998-1:2021.

The dynamics settings as well as the contents of the log are controlled via the *Settings* option of the *Analysis* menu. Point masses are usually defined in the graphical view. Other inputs related to dynamics are performed in the database.

The sections of the manual relevant for the earthquake check are provided below.

## Basics

## **Equations of Motion**

The general equations of motion for a finite element system look as follows in matrix form:

$M \cdot \ddot{u}(t)$	+ $C \cdot \dot{u}(t)$	+	$K \cdot u(t)$	=	p(t)	(1)
inertial forces with	damping forces		elastic forces		excitation forces	
М	Mass matrix					
С	Damping matrix					
Κ	Stiffness matrix					
u(t)	Deformation vector					
$\dot{u}(t) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$	Velocity vector					
$\ddot{u}(t) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial^2 t^2}$	Acceleration vector					
p(t)	Load vector					

### Load vector

This vector is composed of the following components:

 $p(t) = (p - M \ddot{u}_k) f(t)$ with  $p \qquad \text{Load vector}$   $\ddot{u}_k \qquad \text{Vector of the node acceleration}$   $f(t) \qquad \text{Time function of the load}$ 

All force and displacement values are functions of time *t*. Equation (1) describes a system of linked linear differential equations which normally must be solved collectively. Ignoring inertial and damping forces and assuming a time-independent load results in the static case of application.

## Integration of the Equations of Motion

The equations of motion are integrated based on the general integration scheme defined by Newmark and Wilson. This provides reliable results regardless of the size selected for the time step. The process is based on the following assumptions:

$$\dot{u}(t + \Delta t) = \dot{u}(t) + \frac{1}{2} [\ddot{u}(t) + \ddot{u}(t + \Delta t)] \Delta t$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$u(t + \Delta t) = u(t) + \dot{u}(t)\Delta t + \frac{1}{4} [\ddot{u}(t) + \ddot{u}(t + \Delta t)]\Delta t^{2}$$

In addition, the equation of motion (1) is used to calculate displacements, velocities and accelerations at the time  $t+\Delta t$ . The time step solution is carried out according to the following scheme: Analysis specifications:

- 1. Determination of mass, damping and stiffness matrices.
- 2. Specification of u(t),  $\dot{u}(t)$ ,  $\ddot{u}(t)$ .
- 3. Selection of the time step  $\Delta t$ .

Calculation of the integration constants:

4. Calculation of the effective stiffness matrix and triangulation.

Time step integration:

- 5. Calculation of the effective loads at time  $t+\Delta t$ .
- 6. Determination of the displacements at time  $t+\Delta t$  by means of reverse resolution.
- 7. Determination of the velocities and accelerations at time  $t+\Delta t$  from equations (2) and (3).

(3)

## **Undamped Natural Frequency Problem**

If the damping and excitation forces are ignored in equation (1), the result is:	
$M \ddot{u}(t) + K u(t) = 0$	(4)
The approach $u(t) = x e^{i\omega t}$ yields the eigenvalue problem	
$(-\omega^2 M + K) x = 0$	(5)
with $\omega$ as the angular frequency	
and, using a different notation:	
$K \Phi = M \Phi \lambda$	(6)
In this case:	
λ Matrix of the eigenvalues	
$\left[\omega_{1}^{2}\right]$	

 $\Phi$  Matrix of the eigenvectors

 $\omega_2^2$ 

λ=

The eigenvalue problem at hand is solved using the method of subspace iteration. Using this approach, the subspace of the eigenvectors in question is iterated simultaneously. The eigenvectors have the following transformation properties with respect to the mass and stiffness matrix:

$$\Phi^T K \Phi = \Phi^T M \Phi \lambda$$
(7)
$$\Phi^T M \Phi = I$$
(8)

In order to solve then eigenvalue problem, a stiffness matrix according to the linear elasticity theory is needed. Therefore nonlinear effects (e.g. compression beams, tension beams, nonlinear springs, loss of tensile support, cable) are not taken into account.

The eigenvalue analysis determines the eigenvalues and eigenvectors for cable structures on the basis of a prescribed cable force state.

A singularity of the Jacobi matrix can occur for dynamic analyses and causes the calculation to terminate.

```
Calculating projection of the stiffness matrix
Calculating projection of the mass matrix
Solving subspace
** Errors **
FEM-F-9915: Error in jacobi-iteration, subspace is singular
Abort of program, 0 Warning(s), 1 Error(s).
```

 $\omega_i^2$ 

In most cases, one of the following erroneous inputs is the cause for this:

- Unrealistically high values were input for the element stiffnesses.
- For beams with polygon section no realistic torsional moment of inertia was provided; instead, the default  $I_x = 10^{-6} \text{ m}^4$  was used.

In general, be aware that when performing dynamic analyses usable values for the resonance frequency of a structure can only be determined if realistic stiffnesses are used.

## Modal Analysis

The equations (7) and (8) can be used to perform a transformation of the equations of motion to the space of eigenvectors. Taking

$u(t) = \Phi x(t)$		(9)

and performing a left-handed multiplication of the equation of motion (1) with  $\Phi^{1}$  yields:

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \Phi^{\mathrm{T}} C \Phi \dot{x}(t) + \lambda x(t) = \Phi^{\mathrm{T}} p(t)$$
(10)

This leads to a system of equations (10) in modal coordinates whose terms are unlinked, with the exception of the damping term.

If the following approach is used for the damping matrix

$$C = \alpha M + \beta K , \tag{11}$$

then, using the equations (7) and (8), the equation of motion is unlinked with regard to:

$$\ddot{x}_{i}(t) + 2\omega_{i}\xi_{i}\dot{x}_{i}(t) + \omega_{i}^{2}x_{i}(t) = r_{i}(t)$$
(12)

with

Angular frequency ω  $\xi_i = D \text{ or } (\alpha + \beta \omega_i^2)/2\omega_i$ Modal damping measure D Lehr's damping measure  $r_{i}(t) = \varphi_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} p(t)$ Modal load vector i-th eigenvector  $\phi_i$ i

Index of the eigenvalues, eigenvectors

The integration of the equations (12) can be carried out either analytically or with a numerical integration scheme.

The approach (11) is generally applicable for structures with natural damping only. But it is not adequate for realistically modeling point dampers.

An essential advantage of the modal analysis is that in general the complete eigenvector space does not need to be taken into account. Instead, due to the natural damping that is always present for buildings, only the first n eigenmodes ( $n < n_{\rm u}$ ) have a significant impact on the structure response. This greatly reduces the complexity of the analysis when compared with direct integration of equations of motion.

## System Excitation Caused by Soil Acceleration

A load resulting from soil acceleration can be replaced with a load of the unsupported structure nodes by transforming the reference system.

The effective structure load is described by the following load vector:

$$p(t)_{\text{eff}} = p_{\text{eff}} f(t) = -M (T_s \ddot{u}_b) f(t) = -M \ddot{u}_k f(t)$$

with

f(t)Excitation as a function of time

Vector of the soil acceleration ü<sub>b</sub>

- Transformation matrix  $T_{s}$
- Vector of the node acceleration ü<sub>k</sub>

This relationship ignores damping forces resulting from the soil acceleration as well as the link between the supported and free elements of the mass matrix. These influences are, however, of low significance when compared with the inertial forces.

The transformation matrix  $T_{\rm s}$  expresses the static influence of the column displacement on the other system nodes. For structures supported in a statically determined fashion or for stiff soil layers, this is described by strictly kinematic relationships.

The deformations and velocities are calculated in the accompanying coordinate system. The accelerations relate to absolute coordinates.

(17)

## **Response Spectrum Method**

The response spectrum method is used to calculate the deformations and internal forces of structures stressed by earthquakes. In this case, instead of analyzing the support point excitation of the system, the maximum response of the significant eigenmodes is calculated with the help of an acceleration response spectrum. This can be taken from a design standard or can be determined through integration of the equation of motion for special cases.



Soil acceleration from earthquakes standardized to  $\ddot{u}_{\rm b}$  = 1.0 m/s<sup>2</sup>

The modified equation of motion (12) in modal coordinates:

$$\ddot{x}_{i}(t) + 2\omega_{i}\xi_{i}\dot{x}_{i}(t) + \omega_{i}^{2}x_{i}(t) = -\varphi_{i}^{T}Mt_{s}\ddot{u}_{b}(t) = -\beta_{i}\ddot{u}_{b}(t)$$
(18)

The solution of which is derived by solving:  $x_i(t) = \beta_i S_d^*(t)$ 

by using the DUHAMEL integral

$$S_{d}^{*}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_{i}\sqrt{1-\xi_{i}}} \int_{0}^{t} \ddot{u}_{b}(\tau) e^{-\xi_{i}\omega_{i}(t-\tau)} \sin[\omega_{i}\sqrt{1-\xi_{i}}(t-\tau)] d\tau$$
(20)

In this case

 $\beta_{i}$  Fraction factor

 $\omega_i$  Angular frequency of the *i*-th eigenmode

 $\xi_i$  Lehr's damping measure of the *i*-th eigenmode

 $\ddot{u}_{\rm b}(t)$  Soil acceleration from earthquake

The vector  $t_s$  expresses the proportionate node displacements that result from applying a unit deformation of the supported system nodes. The program assumes that these are the kinematic displacements of the entire system (see '*System excitation from soil acceleration*').

(19)



The ordinates of the displacement, velocity and acceleration response spectra result from the maximum ordinates of  $S_d^{\star}(t)$  for different oscillation times.

$$S_{d} = S_{v}(1/\omega_{i}) = S_{a}(1/\omega_{i}^{2}) = max \left| S_{d}^{*}(t) \right|$$
(21)

These are generally standardized and smoothed (see also the response spectra of the various standards).

The following illustration shows an unsmoothed, elastic acceleration response spectrum calculated on the basis of the soil acceleration shown above. A damping of 5% was used.



The static pseudo loads of the corresponding eigenmodes required for further calculation are determined from the acceleration response spectrum in the following manner:

$$H_{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{i}} = -\beta_{\mathrm{i}} S_{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{i}} M \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}$$
<sup>(22)</sup>

Deformations and internal forces result for each eigenmode that can optionally be statistically superposed before being used further.

An important parameter for evaluating whether sufficient modal components have been considered is the so-called effective modal mass. It results to the following under consideration of (8):

$$M_{\rm eff} = \sum_{i} \beta_{\rm i}^2 \tag{23}$$

If all eigenmodes are considered, this corresponds to the total mass of the analyzed structure. The general requirement is that the effective modal mass should amount to at least 90% of the total mass (see SIA 261, EC 8, etc.). This requirement is already fulfilled for conventional buildings by considering the initial significant eigenmodes.

For structures with many torsion-flexible beams and rotatory mass distribution or quasi-stiff partial systems, meeting this requirement can be problematic due to the fact that a large number of eigenmodes must be calculated. Therefore, you should consider changing the calculation model or the selected mass distribution in this case.

The additional masses which are not included in the net mass of the structure as well as the masses due to fraffic which are to be observed according to the standard, should be specified by the user using appropriate *Masses from loads* or *Point masses*.

### **ZPA** method

The ZPA method (Zero Period Acceleration) is one way of taking missing effective masses into account. It is also called static correction. Here additional static load cases are calculated, which capture the missing effective masses with a spectral value to be specified. Assuming that the higher-order modes that are not detected have no dynamic amplification, this method can be useful.

In general, the static correction load vector  $p_c$  can be calculated as follows for each direction of acceleration:

$$p_c = p - \sum_i r_i \left( M \cdot \varphi_i \right) \tag{24}$$

With the modal load of ri according to equation 12 and the approach from equation 18 for the earthquake load follows:

$$r_{i}(t) = \varphi_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot p(t) = -\varphi_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot M \cdot \ddot{u}_{b}(t) \cdot t_{s} = -\beta_{i} \cdot \ddot{u}_{b}(t)$$
The acceleration  $\ddot{u}_{i}(t)$  is defined due to a selected spectral value  $S_{i}$  (usually  $S_{i}(\mathrm{T}=0)$ ) of the response spectrum. From

The acceleration  $\ddot{u}_{b}(t)$  is defined due to a selected spectral value  $S_{a,ZPA}$  (usually  $S_{a}(T=0)$ ) of the response spectrum. From equation 24 results:

$$p_{c} = -M \cdot \ddot{u}_{b} \cdot t_{s} + \sum_{i} \beta_{i} \cdot \ddot{u}_{b} \cdot (M \cdot \varphi_{i}) = S_{a,ZPA} \cdot M \cdot \left(\sum_{i} (\beta_{i} \cdot \varphi_{i}) - t_{s}\right) = S_{a,ZPA} \cdot M \cdot \psi$$

The expression  $\psi$  in the equation can be seen as a kind of eigenmode of the mass portion, which is not yet taken into account. The effective masses of the ZPA portion of all k nodes in the mass matrix are:

$$M_{eff,ZPA} = \sum_{k} (M_k \cdot \psi_k)$$

The results of the additional static load cases (correction loads) are also treated like other eigenmodes in the statistical superposition. The SRSS method is used as the combination rule, beause there is no resonant frequency for the ZPA portion.

### Response Spectrum EN 1998-1:2013 (Design Spectrum)

The ordinate values  $S_{\rm d}$  required for the design spectrum according to the basic standard EN 1998-1 (EC8) result from the following equations:

$$0 \le T \le T_{\rm B}: \qquad S_{\rm d}(T) = a_{\rm g} \cdot S \left[ \frac{2}{3} + \frac{T}{T_{\rm B}} \left( \frac{2.5}{q} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \right]$$

$$T_{\rm B} \le T \le T_{\rm C}: \qquad S_{\rm d}(T) = a_{\rm g} \cdot S \cdot \frac{2.5}{q}$$

$$T_{\rm C} \le T \le T_{\rm D}: \qquad S_{\rm d}(T) \begin{cases} = a_{\rm g} \cdot S \cdot \frac{2.5}{q} \cdot \left[ \frac{T_{\rm C}}{T} \right] \\ \ge \beta \cdot a_{\rm g} \end{cases}$$

$$T_{\rm D} \le T: \qquad S_{\rm d}(T) \begin{cases} = a_{\rm g} \cdot S \cdot \frac{2.5}{q} \cdot \left[ \frac{T_{\rm C}T_{\rm D}}{T^2} \right] \\ \ge \beta \cdot a_{\rm g} \end{cases}$$

$$Design spectrum S_{\rm d}$$

The following parameters are required to determine the design spectrum:

$$\gamma_{I}$$
 Importance factor according to Section 4.2.5.

 $a_{\rm g}$ 

Design value of the soil acceleration for subsoil class A with  $a_{\rm g} = \gamma_{\rm I} \cdot a_{\rm gR}$ . The reference peak soil

acceleration  $a_{gR}$  is to be specified by the user in the setting dialog  $(a_{x'}, a_{y'}, a_{z})$ . The factor for the vertical soil acceleration must be considered by the user according to Table 3.4. For spectra of type 1 the vertical soil acceleration is to be reduced to 90 % and for spectra of type 2 to 45 % of the horizontal soil acceleration.

*S*, 
$$T_{\rm B}$$
,  $T_{\rm C}$ ,  $T_{\rm D}$  Parameters according to Section 3.2.2. The design spectrum for the vertical earthquake action is internally calculated according to Table 3.4 with the parameters *S*=1.0,  $T_{\rm B}$ =0.05,  $T_{\rm C}$ =0.15 and  $T_{\rm D}$ =1.0.

- *q* Behavior coefficient that takes into account the ability of a structure to resist the earthquake action in the nonlinear area with post-limit stiffness. The behavior coefficient depends on the plastic deformation and energy dissipation capacity of the structure and is to be determined according to the standard. For the vertical earthquake action, *q* is set to 1.0 in the calculation.
- $\beta$  Coefficient for the lower limit value for the horizontal design spectrum with  $\beta = 0.2$ .

#### **Statistical Combination Method**

As the response spectrum only records maximum amplitudes, the superposition of the displacements and internal forces is carried out according to the SRSS or CQC method. If the following requirement is fulfilled, then according to the standard the SRSS method is selected as superposition specification during automatic assignment, otherwise the CQC method is selected.

$$T_i \le 0.9 T_j$$
 with  $T_i \le T_j$ 

### Response Spectrum DIN EN 1998-1:2021 (Design Spectrum)

The ordinate values  $S_{\rm d}$  required for the design spectrum according to DIN EN 1998-1 result from the following equations:



The following parameters are required to determine the design spectrum:

 $\gamma_{I}$  Importance factor according to Table NA.5.

$$a_g$$
 Design value of the soil acceleration for subsoil circumstance A-R with  $a_g = \gamma_I \cdot a_{gR}$  and  $a_{gR} = S_{aP,R} / 2.5$   
with  $S_{aP,R}$  according to Figure NA.1 of the standard. The reference peak soil acceleration  $a_{gR}$  is to be  
specified by the user in the setting dialog ( $a_x$ ,  $a_y$ ,  $a_z$ ). The factor for the vertical soil acceleration must be  
considered by the user according to Table NA.3. According to this the vertical soil acceleration is to be  
reduced to 70 % of the horizontal soil acceleration.

- *S*,  $T_{\rm B}$ ,  $T_{\rm C}$ ,  $T_{\rm D}$  Parameters according to NDP by 3.2.2.1(4), 3.2.2.2(2)P. Any deviations according to NA.E.1 and NA.E.2 for higher return periods shall be indicated by the user accordingly. The design spectrum for the vertical earthquake action is internally calculated according to Table NA.3 with the parameters S=1.0,  $T_{\rm B}=0.05$ ,  $T_{\rm C}=0.20$  and  $T_{\rm D}=1.20$ .
- *q* Behavior coefficient that takes into account the ability of a structure to resist the earthquake action in the nonlinear area with post-limit stiffness. The behavior coefficient depends on the plastic deformation and energy dissipation capacity of the structure and is to be determined according to the standard. For the vertical earthquake action, *q* is set to 1.0 in the calculation.
- $\beta$  Coefficient for the lower limit value for the horizontal design spectrum with  $\beta = 0.0$ .

#### **Statistical Combination Method**

As the response spectrum only records maximum amplitudes, the superposition of the displacements and internal forces is carried out according to the SRSS or CQC method. If the following requirement is fulfilled, then according to the standard the SRSS method is selected as superposition specification during automatic assignment, otherwise the CQC method is selected.

 $T_i \le 0.9 T_j$  with  $T_i \le T_j$ 

### **Response Spectrum DIN 4149:2005**

The ordinates of the design spectrum  $S_d(T)$  are determined by the following expressions:

The following parameters are required to determine the design spectrum:

TVibration period 
$$(T = 1 / f)$$
. $a_g$ Design value of the soil acceleration as a function of the earthquake zone according to DIN 4149, Table 2.It is to be specified by the user in the setting dialog  $(a_x, a_y, a_z)$ . The factor of 0.7 for the vertical soil  
acceleration according to Section 5.4.1 (4) must be considered by the user. $\gamma_I$ Importance coefficient according to Table 3. $\beta_0$ Amplification factor of the spectral acceleration with the reference value  $\beta_0 = 2.5$  for 5% viscous  
damping.S. T. T. T.Parameters according to Table 4 or 5.

S,  $T_{\rm B}$ ,  $T_{\rm C}$ ,  $T_{\rm D}$  Parameters according to Table 4 or 5.

Behavior coefficient that takes into account the ability of a structure to resist the earthquake action in the nonlinear area with post-limit stiffness. The behavior coefficient depends on the plastic deformation and energy dissipation capacity of the structure and is to be determined according to Sections 8 through 12. For the vertical earthquake action, q is set to 1.0 in the calculation according to Chapter 8.3.3.2.2.

#### **Statistical Combination Methods**

As the response spectrum only records maximum amplitudes, the superposition of the displacements and internal forces is carried out according to the SRSS or CQC method. If the following requirement is fulfilled for all of the eigenmodes to be analyzed, then, according to the standard the SRSS method is selected as superposition specification during automatic assignment; otherwise, the CQC method is selected.

 $T_i \le 0.9 T_j$  with  $T_i \le T_j$ 

## Response Spectrum DIN 4149:1981

The ordinate values of the design spectrum  $S_{d,i}$  of the *i*-th eigenmode required for the response spectrum according to DIN 4149:1981 result from the following equation:

$$S_{d,i} = \beta a_0 \chi \alpha$$

(27)

with

q

 $\beta = \beta(T_i)$  Coefficient of the standardized response spectrum (DIN 4149:1981, Part 1, Figure 2). An possible increase of the coefficient due to insufficient damping (D < 0.05:  $\beta^* = \beta \cdot 1.3$ ) can be determined, if necessary.



- $T_{i}$  Vibration period of the *i*-th resonant frequency.
- $a_0$  Soil acceleration [m/s<sup>2</sup>] as a function of the earthquake zone (Section 7.2.1). It is to be specified by the user in the setting dialog ( $a_x$ ,  $a_y$ ,  $a_z$ ). The factor of 0.5 for the vertical soil acceleration must be considered by the user (Section 7.3).
- $\chi$  Factor for the subsoil (Section 7.2.2).
- $\alpha$  Reduction factor as a function of the subsoil class and the earthquake zone (Section 7.2.3).

### **Statistical Combination Methods**

As the response spectrum only records maximum amplitudes, the superposition of the displacements and internal forces is carried out according to the SRSS or CQC method. DIN 4149:1981 stipulates the SRSS method.

## **Response Spectrum OENORM B 4015**

The seismic coefficients  $k_{E,i}$  of the *i*-th eigenmode required according to OENORM B 4015 result from the following equation:

$$k_{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{i}} = \varepsilon \cdot k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_{3,\mathrm{i}} \cdot k_4$$

with

ε Earthquake coefficient as a function of the soil acceleration  $a_0$  and the gravitational acceleration g with  $ε = a_0/g$ . Make sure to use a factor of 0.67 for the effective vertical soil acceleration.

 $k_1$  Foundation coefficient (Table 9).

k<sub>2</sub> Building reaction coefficient.

 $k_{3,i}$  Distribution coefficient.

Factor for taking the building type into account (in general 1, for bridges according to Section 10.2).

For determining the building reaction coefficient  $k_2$  the following parameters are required:



$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{10}{5 + 100 \cdot \xi}}$$

ξ Lehr's damping measure.

1

 $\beta_0$  Reaction parameter as a function of the subsoil (Table 9).

 $k_5$  Building ductility (ratio of the limit deformation and the elastic deformation, Table 12). If the value is greater than 1.5,  $\eta$  is assumed to be 1 or greater.

### **Statistical Combination Methods**

As the response spectrum only records maximum amplitudes, the superposition of the displacements and internal forces is carried out according to the SRSS or CQC method: If the following condition is fulfilled, according to OENORM B 4015 the SRSS method is selected as the superposition specification during automatic assignment, otherwise the CQC method is selected.

$$f_{\rm i}$$
 / $f_{\rm j}$  < 1/(1 + 10\xi) with  $f_{\rm i}$   $\leq$   $f_{\rm j}$  and  $j$  = 1 to  $n$ 

## **Response Spectrum SIA 261**

The ordinate values  $S_d$  required for the response spectrum according to SIA 261 result from the following equations:



The following parameters are required to determine the design spectrum:

 $\gamma_{f}$  Importance factor according to Table 25.

 $a_{\rm gd}$  Soil acceleration according to Section 16.2.1.2 as a function of the earthquake zone. The factor of 0.7 for the vertical soil acceleration according to Section 16.2.4.2 must be considered by the user.

$$g$$
Gravitational acceleration. $S, T_{\rm B}, T_{\rm C}, T_{\rm D}$ Reaction parameter according to Table 24.

Behavior coefficient that takes into account the ability of a structure to resist the earthquake action in the nonlinear area with post-limit stiffness. The behavior coefficient depends on the plastic deformation and energy dissipation capacity of the structure and is to be determined according to the standards SIA 262 to 267. For the vertical earthquake action, q is set to 1.5 in the calculation.

### **Statistical Combination Method**

As the response spectrum only records maximum amplitudes, a superposition of the displacements and internal forces is carried out according to the SRSS method.

## Horizontal Elastic Response Spectrum EN 1998-1:2013

The ordinate values  $S_{\rm e}$  required for the horizontal elastic response spectrum according to the basic standard EN 1998-1 (EC8) result from the following equations:



q

The following parameters are required to determine the horizontal elastic response spectrum needed for the pushover analysis:

- $\gamma_{I}$  Importance factor according to Section 4.2.5.
- $a_{g}$  Design value of the soil acceleration for subsoil class A with  $a_{g} = \gamma_{I} \cdot a_{gR}$ . The reference peak soil acceleration  $a_{gR}$  is to be specified by the user in the setting dialog  $(a_{x'}, a_{y})$ .
- S,  $T_{\rm B}$ ,  $T_{\rm C}$ ,  $T_{\rm D}$  Reaction parameter according to Section 3.2.2.

Damping correction coefficient with the reference value  $\eta$  = 1 for 5 % viscous damping.

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{10}{5 + 100 \cdot \xi}}$$

ξ Lehr's damping measure.

η

 $\gamma_{\rm I}$ 

### Horizontal Elastic Response Spectrum DIN EN 1998-1:2021

The ordinate values  $S_{\rm e}$  required for the horizontal elastic response spectrum according to DIN EN 1998-1 result from the following equations:

$$0 \le T \le T_{\rm B}: \qquad S_e(T) = a_{\rm g} \cdot S \left[ 1 + \frac{T}{T_{\rm B}} (\eta \cdot 2.5 - 1) \right]$$
$$T_{\rm B} \le T \le T_{\rm C}: \qquad S_e(T) = a_{\rm g} \cdot S \cdot \eta \cdot 2.5$$

$$T_{\rm C} \le T \le T_{\rm D}$$
:  $S_e(T) = a_{\rm g} \cdot S \cdot \eta \cdot 2.5 \cdot \left[\frac{T_{\rm C}}{T}\right]$ 

$$T_{\rm D} \le T \le 4 \, s$$
:  $S_e(T) = a_{\rm g} \cdot S \cdot \eta \cdot 2.5 \cdot \left[ \frac{T_{\rm C} T_{\rm D}}{T^2} \right]$ 



The following parameters are required to determine the horizontal elastic response spectrum needed for the pushover analysis:

Importance factor according to Table NA.5.

 $a_{g}$  Design value of the soil acceleration for subsoil class A with  $a_{g} = \gamma_{I} \cdot a_{gR}$  and  $a_{gR} = S_{aP,R} / 2.5$  with  $S_{aP,R}$  according to Figure NA.1 of the standard. The reference peak soil acceleration  $a_{gR}$  is to be specified by the user in the setting dialog  $(a_{x}, a_{y})$ .

 $S, T_{\rm B}, T_{\rm C}, T_{\rm D}$  Parameters according to NDP by 3.2.2.1(4), 3.2.2.2(2)P. Any deviations according to NA.E.1 and NA.E.2 for higher return periods shall be indicated by the user accordingly.

 $\eta$  Damping correction coefficient with the reference value  $\eta = 1$  for 5 % viscous damping.

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{10}{5 + 100 \cdot \xi}}$$

ξ Lehr's damping measure.

## Alternative Response Spectrum

The curve of the alternative response spectrum can be defined freely. The alternative acceleration response spectrums ( $S_{ax'}$  $S_{a,v'}$ ,  $S_{a,z'}$  are the arithmetic product of the specified soil accelerations ( $a_{x}$ ,  $a_{v'}$ ,  $a_{z'}$ ) and the user-defined standardized response spectrums (standard or vertical).



#### **Statistical Combination Methods**

As the response spectrum only records maximum amplitudes, the superposition of the displacements and internal forces is carried out according to the SRSS or CQC method.

## Statistical Combination Methods

As the response spectrum only records maximum amplitudes, the superposition of the displacements and internal forces is carried out according to one of the following two statistical laws:

$$E = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}^{2}}$$
(SRSS method)
$$E = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{i} \cdot \rho_{ij} \cdot E_{j}}$$
(CQC method)
with
$$E_{i}$$
Displacements and internal forces of the *i*-th eigenmodes.
$$Number \text{ of eigenmodes.}$$

$$8 \varepsilon^{2} \cdot (1 + r) \cdot r^{1,5}$$

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{8\xi \cdot (1+r) \cdot r^{3/2}}{(1-r^2)^2 + 4\xi^2 \cdot r(1+r)^2}$$

$$r = f_i / f_j = T_j / T_i \quad \text{Frequency ratio.}$$

$$\xi \quad \text{Lehr's damping}$$

Lehr's damping measure.

The CQC method is only applicable if  $\xi > 0$ .

In the standard case the superposition specification is chosen automatically depending on the standard. If the following requirement, e.g. according to DIN 4149, is fulfilled for all of the eigenmodes to be analyzed, then, according to the standard the SRSS method is selected as superposition specification during automatic assignment; otherwise, the CQC method is selected

### $T_i \le 0.9 T_j$ with $T_i \le T_j$

Given a symmetrical 3D structure, two congruent oscillation times often result ( $T_i = T_i$ ). Therefore, the automatic selection of the superposition specification always chooses the CQC method for such systems. If you wish to use a different method, you must select it manually.

## **Earthquake Action**

Within the checks in the ultimate limit state of the different standards an earthquake action  $(A_{Ed})$  is to be taken into account.

$$\sum_{j\geq l} G_{\mathbf{k},j} "+" P "+" A_{\mathrm{Ed}} "+" \sum_{i\geq l} \psi_{2,i} \cdot Q_{\mathbf{k},i}$$

In general, to check the horizontal earthquake action two directions of the building section that are orthogonal to one another are analyzed.

These are to be combined in the form:

(  $E_{\rm Edx} \oplus 0.3{\cdot}E_{\rm Edy}$  ) or (  $0.3{\cdot}E_{\rm Edx} \oplus E_{\rm Edy}$  )

Here the internal forces  $E_{\text{Edx}}$  or  $E_{\text{Edy}}$  can be determined through statistical superposition. The two following combinations illustrate this procedure.

#### Load case combination 1, Edx "+" 0.3 Edy

1. Variable exclusive action	Factor	
Response spectrum overlaid (x)	1.000	
Response spectrum overlaid (x)	-1.000	
2. Variable exclusive action	Factor	
Response spectrum overlaid (y)	0.300	
Response spectrum overlaid (y)	-0.300	

### Load case combination 2, 0.3 Edx "+" Edy

1. Variable exclusive action	Factor	
Response spectrum overlaid (x)	-0.300	
Response spectrum overlaid (x)	0.300	
2. Variable exclusive action	Factor	
Response spectrum overlaid (y)	-1.000	
Response spectrum overlaid (y)	1.000	

The random torsion actions according to DIN 4149:2005, Section 6.2.3.3 or EN 1998-1, Section 4.3.2 are not included in the static pseudo loads that are calculated by the program. If necessary, you can specify them by providing additional load cases for the earthquake actions.

#### Charackeristic value of the sensitivity to story drift

The analysis of the load-bearing capacity according to DIN 4149:2005, Section 7.2.2 or EN 1998-1, Section 4.4.2.2, involves checking the characteristic value of the sensitivity to story drift (see example *Earthquake*). If this value exceeds 0.1, the reactions from the earthquake action should be increased, if necessary. Alternatively, you can carry out a calculation according to the second-order theory. The value of  $\theta$  may not exceed 0.3. This value cannot be automatically calculated by the program.

## Pushover Analysis EN 1998-1:2013 and DIN EN 1998-1:2021

The pushover analysis is a nonlinear method for the verification of earthquake safety of structures and can be used alternatively to the response spectrum method. Here, the displacement of the control node  $d_n$  is compared with the total

earthquake force at foundation level  $F_{\rm b}$  (capacity curve), which occurs under constant vertical loads and monotonically increasing horizontal loads. The method is suitable for largely regular structures whose basic eigenmode in the direction considered is not determined by torsional vibrations (Section 4.3.3.4.2.7, EN 1998-1). The procedure is described in Section 4.3.3.4.2 and Annex B, EN 1998-1. The method explained there is based on the N2 method developed by Fajfar et al.

Essentially, the implemented method is divided into two calculation steps:

1. Dynamics:

Selection of the control node according to Section 4.3.3.4.2.3, definition of the elastic response spectrum, input of the soil accelerations, determination of the dynamic eigenmodes  $\phi_i$  and their normalization (displacement of the control

node  $\phi_n = 1$ ), provision of selected horizontal load distributions as well as the calculation of the associated equivalent

mass  $m^*$ , the transformation coefficient  $\Gamma$  and the ductility coefficient  $q_u$ . The basic modal form considered for the modal horizontal load distribution corresponds to the eigenmode with the largest effective modal mass in the desired direction.

2. Nonlinear structural analysis:

Definition of vertical and horizontal load cases with associated load time function, input of load groups for the distributions (*modal*  $\pm x$ , *constant*  $\pm x$  and possibly *modal*  $\pm y$ , *constant*  $\pm y$ ), nonlinear system analysis with the Newton time step or the arc length method.

After the two calculation steps, the capacity curve in the multi-mass system and in the spectral representation are available. Their linearization is carried out iteratively in accordance with Section B.5, EN 1998-1.



By evaluating the elastic or inelastic response spectrum, this results in the target displacement  $d_{t'}$  which is also shown. To verify the earthquake stability the calculated target displacement should be held by an appropriate ductility of the structure.

## Input

The tabular input is made in the Dynamics folder of the database.

## **Analysis Settings**

This is where the desired calculation mode and the corresponding control values are set.

#### Analysis mode

- Eigenvalues
  - Eigenvalues
  - Effect of the masses

### Response spectrum

- Eigenvalues
- Effect of the masses
- Soil acceleration
- Coefficients as per EN 1998-1:2013, DIN EN 1998-1:2021, DIN 4149:2005, DIN 4149:1981, OENORM B 4015, SIA 261 (design spectrum)
- Alternative response spectrum
- Store static pseudo loads

### Pushover analysis

- Eigenvalues
- Effect of the masses
- Soil acceleration
- Coefficients as per EN 1998-1:2013, DIN EN 1998-1:2021
- (horizontal elastic response spectrum)
- Vertical distribution of horizontal loads (Modal x, Modal y, Constant x, Constant y)
- Control node (Node)

EN 1002-1-1	EN 1002-2		N 1002-1-1		N 100E 1-1
EN 1992-1-1	Dynamics		1-1-CEEL NI	Casa Cas	hination
Statucs	D yndinica		LOad	Case Con	nbinauon
<u>A</u> nalysis mode:		_	igenvalues –		
Response spectrum	DIN EN 1998-1: $\smallsetminus$	1	Number: Sub	space:	Accuracy:
Effect of the masse	s		5 10		1 [%]
In direction: 🔽		5	Stiffness acco	rding 2nd	order (LC):
Around axis: 🔽 )					~
<u>Soil accelerations</u> ax: <u>1.023</u> [m/s ay: <u>1.023</u> [m/s	<sup>2</sup> ]  Apply col <sup>2</sup> ] Reference s	mponer ys. ang	its separately le alpha [º]	0	
az: 0 [m/s	2] ZPA-Met	hod,	ZPA-T [s]	0	
Coefficients of DIN Subsoil circumstanc	EN 1998-1:2021 es 475 years:	Lehr 's	damping mea	asure: ent gamm	0.05 a I: 1.2
Spectral acceler. <sub>S:</sub> Sap,R:	1 TB: 0.1	Behav	or coefficient	(horiz.) q	ı: 1.5
<= 1 × TC:	0.2 TD: 2	metho	d:	Standa	ard 🗸
Store static pseud	lo loads				

## **Eigenvalues**

Number of eigenvalues: Up to 999 eigenvalues and vectors can be calculated.

*Max. vector space*: Size of the vector space to be used during the eigenvalue iteration. The number of iteration vectors must always be greater than or equal to the number of the selected eigenvalues.

Iteration accurancy: Size of the maximum relative error [%].

Stiffness according 2nd order (LC): Calculation of eigenvalues with a stiffness matrix, which take into account the geometrical nonlinear part of the second-order theory. Therefor the internal forces of the statical load case, which is selected here, are used.

## **Effect of the Masses**

Selection of the mass portions implemented in the mass matrix.



Attention: With the elimination of mass portions maybe differing eigenmodes and eigenfrequencies result!

## **Soil Acceleration**

*ax*, *ay*: Vector with the standard value of the horizontal acceleration of the soil as a function of the earthquake zone [m/s<sup>2</sup>]. *az*: Principle value of the vertical acceleration of the soil. In general this does not need to be considered.

Apply components separately: Static pseudo load cases are applied separately for each direction.

Reference system angle Alpha: Rotation of the soil accelerations  $a_x$  and  $a_y$  about the global z axis [°].

ZPA-Method: Use of the ZPA method.

ZPA-T: Oscillation time T [s] to calculate the spectral value  $S_{a,ZPA}$ .

## Coefficients as per EN 1998-1:2013 (Design Spectrum)

Ground type: Define the parameter values according to the basic standard EN 1998-1, Table 3.2 or 3.3.

Parameters S, TB, TC, TD: Coefficients for determining the design spectrum for the horizontal earthquake action. The parameters are suggested in accordance with the ground type and the type of the response spectrum. The design spectrum for the vertical earthquake action is internally calculated according to Table 3.4 with the coefficients S=1.0,  $T_{\rm B}$ 

=0.05,  $T_{\rm C}$ =0.15 and  $T_{\rm D}$ =1.0.

*Lehr's damping measure*: Measure  $\xi$  for determining the factor that accounts for building damping  $\eta$ . For the design spectrum according to Section 3.2.2.5 5% viscous damping is assumed.

Importance factor  $\gamma_{I}$ : Factor according to Section 4.2.5.

*Behavior coefficient (horiz.) q*: The capacity of a structure to resist the earthquake action in the nonlinear zone with postlimit stiffness can be accounted for with the behavior coefficient *q*. It is used for the horizontal earthquake action. For vertical earthquake actions *q* is internally set to 1.0 by the program.

The button  $\square$  opens a dialog which shows the related spectral acceleration  $S_d(T) / a_g$  (with  $a_g = \gamma_I a_{gR}$ ).

Combination method:

- *Standard*: The program automatically selects one of the two following combination methods (see *Basics > Response spectrum EN 1998-1:2013*).
- SRSS method: Square root of the sum of the squares.
- CQC method: Complete quadratic combination.

## Coefficients as per DIN EN 1998-1:2021 (Design Spectrum)

Subsoil circumstances 475 years: Define the parameter values according to DIN EN 1998-1, Table NA.1 or NA.2.

Parameters S, TB, TC, TD: Coefficients for determining the design spectrum for the horizontal earthquake action. The parameters are suggested in accordance with the subsoil circumstances and the spectral acceleration  $S_{aP,R}$ . The design

spectrum for the vertical earthquake action is internally calculated according to Table NA.3 with the coefficients S=1.0,  $T_{\rm B}=0.05$ ,  $T_{\rm C}=0.20$  and  $T_{\rm D}=1.20$ .

Lehr's damping measure: Measure  $\xi$  for determining the factor that accounts for building damping  $\eta$ . For the design spectrum according to Section 3.2.2.5 5% viscous damping is assumed.

Importance factor  $\gamma_I$ : Factor according to Table NA.5.

*Behavior coefficient (horiz.) q*: The capacity of a structure to resist the earthquake action in the nonlinear zone with postlimit stiffness can be accounted for with the behavior coefficient *q*. It is used for the horizontal earthquake action. For vertical earthquake actions *q* is internally set to 1.0 by the program.

The button  $\square$  opens a dialog which shows the related spectral acceleration  $S_d(T) / a_g$  (with  $a_g = \gamma_I \cdot a_{gR}$ ).

Combination method:

- *Standard*: The program automatically selects one of the two following combination methods (see *Basics > Response spectrum DIN EN 1998-1:2021*).
- SRSS method: Square root of the sum of the squares.
- CQC method: Complete quadratic combination.

### Coefficients as per DIN 4149:2005

Subsoil circumstances: Define the subsoil parameters according to DIN 4149:2005, Table 4 or 5.

Subsoil parameters S, TB, TC, TD: Coefficients for determining the design spectrum.

*Lehr's damping measure*: Measure  $\xi$  for determining the damping correction coefficient  $\eta$ . For the design spectrum according to Section 5.4.3, 5% viscous damping is assumed.

Importance coefficient  $\gamma_{I}$ : Factor according to Table 3.

Behavior coefficient (horiz.) q: Coefficient to take into account the energy dissipation capacity of a structure according to Sections 8 to 12. For vertical earthquake actions q is internally set to 1.0 by the program.

The button  $\square$  opens a dialog which shows the related spectral acceleration  $S_{\rm d}(T) / a_{\rm g}$ .

Combination method:

- *Standard*: The program automatically selects one of the two following combination methods (see *Basics* > *Response spectrum DIN 4149:2005*).
- SRSS method: Square root of the sum of the squares.
- CQC method: Complete quadratic combination.

### Coefficients as per DIN 4149:1981

Subsoil factor kappa: Factor to account for the subsoil (DIN 4149:1981, Section 7.2.2).

- *Factor for regard of the building class alpha*: Reduction factor as a function of the subsoil class and the earthquake zone (Section 7.2.3).
- Increase coefficient  $\beta$  by 30%: The coefficient  $\beta$  (Figure 2) is increased by 30%. According to Section 8.1 this is necessary for structures with a damping measure D < 0.05.
- *Lehr's damping measure*: Damping factor of the structure for calculation of the interaction factor when combining the modal components according to the CQC method.

The button  $\square$  opens a dialog which shows the related spectral acceleration  $\beta(T) \cdot \kappa \cdot \alpha$ .

Combination method:

- SRSS method: Square root of the sum of the squares.
- CQC method: Complete quadratic combination.

### Coefficients as per OENORM B 4015

*Type of the subsoil*: Define the foundation coefficient and the reaction parameters according to OENORM B 4015, Table 9. *Foundation coefficient k1*: Value according to Table 9.

Reaction parameters T1, T2, B0: Coefficients for determining the building reaction coefficient  $k_2$ .

Lehr's damping measure: Measure  $\xi$  for determining the factor to account for building damping  $\eta$  and the interaction factor for the combination of the modal components according to the CQC method.

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{10}{5 + 100 \cdot \xi}}$$

Building ductility k5: Ratio of the limit deformation and the elastic deformation.

Factor for regard of the building type: The factor  $k_4$  should generally be set to 1.

The button  $\square$  opens a dialog which shows the related spectral acceleration  $k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_4$ .

Combination method:

- Standard: The program automatically selects one of the two following combination methods (see Basics > Response spectrum OENORM B 4015).
- SRSS method: Square root of the sum of the squares.
- CQC method: Complete quadratic combination.

## **Coefficients as per SIA 261**

Subsoil class: Define the reaction parameters according to SIA 261, Table 24.

Reaction parameters S, TB, TC, TD: Coefficients for determining the design spectrum.

Lehr's damping measure: Measure  $\xi$  for determining the factor that accounts for building damping  $\eta$ . For the design spectrum according to Section 16.2.4.1 5% viscous damping is assumed.

Importance factor  $\gamma_{f}$ : Factor according to Table 25.

*Behavior coefficient (horiz.) q*: The capacity of a structure to resist the earthquake action in the nonlinear zone with postlimit stiffness can be accounted for with the behavior coefficient *q*. For reinforced concrete the value can be taken from Table 14, SIA 262. It is used for the horizontal earthquake action. For vertical earthquake actions *q* is internally set to 1.5 by the program.

The button  $\square$  opens a dialog which shows the related spectral acceleration  $S_d(T) \cdot g / a_{gd}$ 

Combination method:

SRSS method: Square root of the sum of the squares.

## Coefficients as per EN 1998-1:2013 (Horizontal Elastic Response Spectrum)

Ground type: Define the parameter values according to the basic standard EN 1998-1, Table 3.2 or 3.3.

*Parameters S, TB, TC, TD*: Coefficients for determining the horizontal elastic response spectrum for the earthquake action. The parameters are suggested in accordance with the ground type and the type of the response spectrum.

Lehr's damping measure: Measure  $\xi$  for determining the factor that accounts for building damping  $\eta$ .

Importance factor  $\gamma_{I}$ : Factor according to Section 4.2.5.

The button  $\square$  opens a dialog which shows the related spectral acceleration  $S_{\rm e}(T) / a_{\rm g}$  (with  $a_{\rm g} = \gamma_{\rm I} \cdot a_{\rm gR}$ ).

## Coefficients as per DIN EN 1998-1:2021 (Horizontal Elastic Response Spectrum)

Subsoil circumstances 475 years: Define the parameter values according to DIN EN 1998-1, Table NA.1 or NA.2.
 Parameters S, TB, TC, TD: Coefficients for determining the horizontal elastic response spectrum for the earthquake action. The parameters are suggested in accordance with the subsoil circumstances and the spectral acceleration S<sub>aP R</sub>.

Lehr's damping measure: Measure  $\xi$  for determining the factor that accounts for building damping  $\eta$ .

Importance factor  $\gamma_{I}$ : Factor according to Table NA.5

The button  $\square$  opens a dialog which shows the related spectral acceleration  $S_{\rm e}(T) / a_{\rm g}$  (with  $a_{\rm g} = \gamma_{\rm I} \cdot a_{\rm gR}$ ).

## **Alternative Response Spectrum**

Combination method:

- SRSS method: Square root of the sum of the squares.
- *CQC method*: Complete quadratic combination.
- *Lehr's damping measure*: Damping factor of the structure for calculation of the interaction factor when combining the modal components according to the CQC method.

Standard: Function of the standard response spectrum.

*Vertical*: Function of the vertical response spectrum. The standard response spectrum will be used for the vertical direction, if no function is defined.

## **Store Static Pseudo Loads**

The static pseudo loads of the modal components that are calculated for an earthquake analysis with response spectra are saved and can be subsequently imported into a load case. If necessary, this can be done separately for the accelerations directions.

## **Point Masses**

This function of the *structure* menu is used to define the point masses for dynamic analysis. They act in addition to the masses from the selected load cases and the mass distribution of the structure, which are automatically determined by the program. The point masses are linked to the element nodes and are represented with a filled circle.

### Off

Remove point masses from the graphical view.

### Enter

Define point masses at the selected nodes by specifying their components.

## Components of a point mass

### Mux, Muy, Muz

Translational masses in direction of the global axes [t].

### Mphi.x, Mphi.y, Mphi.z

Rotatory masses about the global axes  $[t m^2]$ .

## **Generate Masses From Loads**

It allows you to select load cases from which to create additional nodal masses.

This is done by dividing nodal loads in the global z direction that result from the load cases by the gravitational acceleration  $(9.81 \text{ m/s}^2)$  and applying them as additional point masses (translational masses) in the x, y and z directions.

- The following load types, which do not represent vertical loads in this sense, are ignored by the program.
- Influence surface, Influence line
- Insert
- Dynamic element collapseDynamic train load

Buckling eigenvalues Creep and shrinkage

Support displacement

- Initial strain, Temperature
- Prestressing
  - Load group

Theory

- Point moment on beams
- Fixed end forces of beams
- Free line moments on beams and area elements
- Line torsional moment on beams

Superposition

Fire scenarios

The *Dead load* load type is also not taken into account as the dead load of the system is already automatically included in the mass matrix.

### Load cases

Select load cases to add them to the current action.

### Load factor

The individual load cases are weighted by a factor.



Mass distribution for an element grid of 1 m and a load  $q_{\tau}$  of 98.1 kN/m<sup>2</sup>

The point masses of load cases resulting from dynamic analysis can be examined with the database using 'Results, Masses generated from loads'.

## **Alternative Response Spectrum**

This dialog allows you to specify a user-defined standardized response spectrum. The specifications can be made in the analysis settings - dynamics if the corresponding analysis mode is selected.



### Oscillation time T

Vibration period [s].

### Coefficient S(T)

Coefficient of the user-defined standardized response spectrum.

# Examples

## Linked Two-Mass Oscillator

Essential functions of dynamic structure analysis are illustrated based on the example of a two-mass oscillator. A spring element connects the two point masses. The necessary inputs are shown respectively.

### The following dynamic analyses are carried out:

- Eigenvalues and eigenmodes.
- Response spectrum according to EN 1998-1:2013.



System with properties

## **Eigenvalues and Eigenmodes**

Setting Dynamics							
Analysis mode	Eigenvalues	The calculation log includes:					
Figenvalues number	2	Natural frequencies					
Max. vector space Iteration accuracy	2 1 [%]	No.	Eigenvalue [1/s²]	rel. tol. [-]	omega [rad/s]	frequency [Hz]	periode [s]
Effect of the masses In direction Around axis	x	1 2	4.3142e+02 1.4814e+03	7.2896e-27 1.1543e-28	20.771 38.489	3.306 6.126	0.302502 0.163245

The eigenmodes and resonant frequencies that are determined can be graphically displayed with the *Results* function.



## Response Spectrum EN 1998-1:2013

The horizontal static pseudo loads are to be calculated according to the basic standard EN 1998-1 for the eigenmodes under analysis. The results of the evaluation of the response spectrum of the individual eigenmodes are statistically superposed.

### **Setting Dynamics**

Analysis mode	Response spectrum EN 1998-1:2013
Eigenvalues, number Max. vector space Iteration accuracy	2 2 1 [%]
Effect of the masses In direction Around axis	x
Soil accelerations Apply components separately Referenz system angle alpha	ax = 1 ay = 0 az = 0 [m/s²] No 0 [°]
Coefficients of EN 1998-1:2013 Ground type Response spectrum of type Parameter for response spectrum Lehr's damping measure Importance coeff. gamma I Behavior coefficient q Combination method Store static substitute loads	A - Rock or other rock-like geological formation 1 S = 1 TB = $0.15$ TC = $0.4$ TD = $2$ 0.05 1 1.5 Standard No



8.33

Moments after statistical superposition [kNm]

8,33

Deformations after statistical superposition [mm]

## Earthquake Check According to DIN EN 1998-1:2021

The following example illustrates how to apply the response spectrum method on an irregular 3D structure. The handling of a similar model is also shown in the video (German language)

"https://download.infograph.de/video\_de/Erdbebennachweis%20nach%20der%20Antwortspektrenmethode.mp4".



### Material

Concrete	C20/25 according to DIN EN 1992-1-1
Reinforcing steel	500 M / 500 S

### Section properties

1	Polygon	Columns Centroid [m] Area [m²] Moments of inertia [m4] Main axis angle [Grad] Ignore lyz im member stiffn	ys = 0.150 $A = 9.0000e-02$ $lx = 5.0000e-04$ $ly = 6.7500e-04$ $lz = 6.7500e-04$ $Phi = 0.000$ es.	zs = 0.150 11 = 6.7500e-04 12 = 6.7500e-04 1yz = 0.0000e+00
2	Polygon	T-beams Centroid [m] Area [m <sup>2</sup> ] Moments of inertia [m4] Main axis angle [Grad] Ignore lyz im member stiffn	ys = $0.350$ A = $2.6000e-01$ lx = $3.0000e-03$ ly = $7.8821e-03$ lz = $6.6167e-03$ Phi = $-0.000$ es.	zs = 0.238 11 = 7.8821e-03 12 = 6.6167e-03 1yz = 0.0000e+00
3	Polygon	Edge beams Centroid [m] Area [m²] Moments of inertia [m4] Main axis angle [Grad] Ignore lyz im member stiffn	ys = $0.305$ A = $2.2000e-01$ lx = $3.0000e-03$ ly = $6.8424e-03$ lz = $3.5288e-03$ Phi = $-22.322$ es.	zs = 0.264 11 = 7.5143e-03 12 = 2.8569e-03 1yz = 1.6364e-03
4	Area	Slabs Element thickness [m] Orthotropy dzy/dz E-Modulus slab/plain	dz = 0.2000 = 1 = 1	torsion-proof

### Properties for the earthquake check

$S_{\mathrm{aP,R}}$ (475 years, Aachen): 2.557 m/s²	Soil acceleration $a_{gR}$ = 2.557 / 2.5 = 1.023 m/s <sup>2</sup>
Soil class: A	Geological subsoil class: R
Importance category III: Behavior coefficient:	$\gamma_{\rm I} = 1.2$ $q = 0.8 \cdot 1.5 = 1.2$

For the displayed framework structure made of reinforced concrete the following calculations are carried out:

- Determination of the eigenvalues and eigenmodes of the structure model under consideration of the masses according to Section 4.3.3.3.1 of the standard.
- Determination of the horizontal static pseudo loads according to Section 4.3.3.3.1 of the standard.
- Check of the effective modal masses of the structure model.
- Statistical combination of the modal internal forces and displacements according to Section 4.3.3.3.2 of the standard.
- Consideration of random torsion actions according to Section 4.3.3.3.3 of the standard.
- Combination of the stress as a result of the components of the earthquake actions according to Section 4.3.3.5.1 (3) of the standard.
- Combinations of the earthquake action with other actions according to DIN EN 1990.
- Design according to DIN EN 1992-1-1.
- Consideration of influences according to 2nd order theory (P-D effects) according to DIN EN 1998-1, Section 4.4.2.2 (2).



Load case 1: Dead load and expansion





Load case 2: Traffic on inner areas







Load case 5: Wind in y direction

```
Calculation settings for dynamic
```

Load case 4: Wind in x direction

```
Effect of the masses
in direction X Y Z
around axis X Y Z
Eigenvalues
Number of eigenvalues: 5
Maximum vectorspace : 10
Iteration accuracy : 1.00 [%]
```

#### Generate masses from loads

Load case	factor
1	1.00
2	0.21
3	0.30



#### Sum of the installed masses (global)

		Mx [t]	My [t]	Mz [t]
Volume masses Point masses Masses of LC	:	495.034 0.000 121.890	495.034 0.000 121.890	495.034 0.000 121.890
Sum	:	616.923	616.923	616.923

### **Resonant frequencies and eigenmodes**



Eigenmode 1: f = 1.43 Hz



Eigenmode 3: f = 2.03 Hz



Eigenmode 5: f = 3.63 Hz

#### Effective modal masses (global)

Mode shape	Mx eff [t]	My eff [t]	Mz eff [t]
1 2 3 4 5	323.206 209.813 13.016 46.327 3.753	183.332 329.520 37.500 5.072 41.475	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.034 0.003
Sum: Part of the	596.115 total masses: 97 [%]	596.899 97 [응]	0.037 0 [%]

The effective modal masses that are considered are 97% of the applied masses. This means that according to Section 4.3.3.3.1 (3) of the standard the number of eigenmodes analyzed is sufficient.



Eigenmode 2: f = 1.47 Hz



*Eigenmode 4: f = 3.54 Hz* 

## **Calculation scheme**



According to Section 4.3.3.5.1 (3), the check for the horizontal earthquake action is to be carried out in two directions that are perpendicular to one another. The calculation scheme shown above depicts the procedure. The statistical superposition of the modal components is carried out by the program. The random torsion actions according to Section 4.3.3.3.3 (1) of the standard are considered in the following manner for this structure.

### **Comparative calculation**



Floor above	Height	Mass	<sup>s</sup> i <sup>·m</sup> i	H-Force	Width	Trap. load	Width	Trap. load
story	z <sub>i</sub> [m]	<i>m<sub>i</sub></i> [t]	[tm]	$F_i$ [kN]	$Lx_i$ [m]	<i>hx<sub>i</sub></i> [kN/m]	Ly <sub>i</sub> [m]	<i>hy<sub>i</sub></i> [kN/m]
3	10.5	125	125	153	8	± 5.7	13	± 3.5
2	7	235	157	192	12	± 4.8	19.5	± 3.0
1	3.5	257	86	105	12	± 2.6	19.5	± 1.6
Sum		617	367	450				



Load case 51: Random torsion (x)

Load case 52: Random torsion (y)

. .

### **Combination of the stress**

Combination of the stress as a result of the components of the earthquake actions according to Section 4.3.3.5.1 (3) of the standard.

### Load case combination 1, Edx "+" 0.3 Edy

1. Varia	ble exclusive action	Factor		
	Response spectrum overlaid (x) Response spectrum overlaid (x)	-1.00 1.00		
2. Variable exclusive action		Factor		
	Response spectrum overlaid (y) Response spectrum overlaid (y)	-0.300 0.300		
3. Varia	ble exclusive action	Factor		
51 51 4 Varia	Random torsion (x) Random torsion (x)	-1.000 1.000 Eactor		
4. vana				
52 52	Random torsion (y) Random torsion (y)	-0.300 0.300		

### Load case combination 2, 0.3 Edx "+" Edy

1. Varia	ble exclusive action	Factor	
	Response spectrum overlaid (x) Response spectrum overlaid (x)	0.300 -0.300	
2. Variable exclusive action		Factor	
	Response spectrum overlaid (y) Response spectrum overlaid (y)	1.000 -1.000	
3. Varia	ble exclusive action	Factor	
51 51	Random torsion (x) Random torsion (x)	-0.300 0.300	
4. Varia	ble exclusive action	Factor	
52 52	Random torsion (y) Random torsion (y)	-1.000 1.000	

Combinations of the earthquake action with other actions according to DIN EN 1990.

#### DIN EN 1992-1-1 actions

#### Standard design group

#### G - Dead load

Gamma.sup / gamma.inf = 1.35 / 1

#### Load cases

1 G, Dead load

#### QN - Imposed load, traffic load

Gamma.sup / gamma.inf = 1.5 / 0

Combination coefficients for: Superstructures Working load - category A: Residential buildings Psi.0 / Psi.1 / Psi.2 = 0.7 / 0.5 / 0.3

Load cases 1. Variant, inclusive

2 QN, Indoor ceiling

3 QN, Outdoor ceiling

### QW - Wind load

Gamma.sup / gamma.inf = 1.5 / 0

Combination coefficients for: Superstructures Wind loads Psi.0 / Psi.1 / Psi.2 = 0.6 / 0.2 / 0

Load cases 1. Variant, exclusive

- 4 QW, Wind in direction x
- 5 QW, Wind in direction y

#### AE - Actions due to earthquake

Gamma.sup = 1

Load cases 1. Variant, exclusive

K1	Edx "+" 0.3 Edy
K2	0.3 Edx "+" Edy

### 1. Permanent and temporary situation

Final state

G	Dead load
QN	Imposed load, traffic load
QW	Wind load

### 1. Earthquake situation

Final state

G	Dead load
ON	Imposed load, traffic load
QW	Wind load
AE	Actions due to earthquake

## Results

The internal forces  $min/max M_z$  displayed below indicate the different influences of wind force (permanent and temporary situation) and earthquake action (earthquake situation) for a selected beam group. It can be seen that the actions from earthquakes are decisive in this case.



Selected internal forces  $min, max M_{\tau}$  from the permanent and temporary situation [kNm]



Selected internal forces  $min, max M_z$  from the earthquake situation [kNm]

Load case	Factor	Nx [kN]	My [kNm]	Mz [kNm]	Qy [kN]	Qz [kN]	Mx [kNm]
1 : G, Dead load 2 : QN, Indoor ceiling	1.00 0.30	-199.91 -6.17	4.43 0.25	-2.00 -0.10	1.65 0.09	-3.77 -0.22	0.00 -0.00
3 : QN, Outdoor ceiling 51 : Random torsion (x)	0.30	-5.17	0.05	-0.05	0.04	-0.03 0.34	0.00
Asp.x : Response spectrum overlaid (x)	-1.00	-4.77	-3.42	-5.71	3.14	1.85 -7.88	0.11
Mz min	-1.00	-65.86 -293.06	-11.29 -25.13	-56.47 -69.26	-31.11 -27.76	-15.81	-0.37 -0.30
1 : G, Dead load	1.00	-199.91	4.43	-2.00	1.65	-3.77	0.00
52 : Random torsion (y)	1.00	4.77	3.42	5.71	-0.56	-0.34	-0.02
Asp.x : Response spectrum overlaid (x) Asp.y : Response spectrum overlaid (y)	0.30	10.30 65.86	14.52   11.29	3.89 56.47	2.14	/.88 6.10	0.07
Mz max		-118.10	34.29	65.12	31.19	8.01	0.31

Combination information - Internal forces Beam 1 - Loc. 1, Node 1 - Mz min, max DIN1992.E.1 : 1. Earthquake situation, DIN EN 1992-1-1

## Consideration of influences according to 2nd order theory (P- $\Delta$ effects)

The example calculation is carried out for the lowest story according to Section 4.4.2.2 (2) of the standard.



Maximum deformation in y-direction due to the earthquake situation

### Sum of installed loads and support reactions

LC.	Label	Fx [kN]	Fy [kN]	Fz [kN]
1	G, Dead load Support reactions	0.000 -0.000	0.000 -0.000	5675.250 5675.250
2	QN, Indoor ceiling Support reactions	0.000 -0.000	0.000 0.000	624.000 624.000
3	QN, Outdoor ceiling Support reactions	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000	819.000 819.000

 $P_{\text{tot}} = \Sigma G_{\text{k}} + \Sigma \psi_2 Q_{\text{k}} = 5675 + 0.3 \cdot (624 + 819) = 6108 \text{ kN}$  $d_{\text{r}} = d_{\text{s}} = d_{\text{e}} \cdot q = 7.3 \cdot 1.2 = 8.8 \text{ mm}; \quad h = 3500 \text{ mm}; \quad V_{\text{tot}} = F_{\text{b}} = 450 \text{ kN}$ 

$$\theta = \frac{P_{\text{tot}} \cdot d_{\text{t}}}{V_{\text{tot}} \cdot h} = \frac{6108 \cdot 8.8}{450 \cdot 3500} = 0.03 \le 0.1$$

=> Actions according to the second-order theory do not have to be considered.

## Earthquake Check of a Bridge Pier According to the ZPA Method

The bridge pier shown below illustrates the response spectrum method using the ZPA method. The structure consists of C35/45 according to DIN EN 1992-1-1. The horizontal bedding moduli for the piles were assumed to be 500 MN/m<sup>3</sup> in this example. In vertical direction the piles are fixed at their lower end. The mass of the superstructure of the bridge assumed for the example was taken into account via a point mass (5000 t) 5 m above the pier. In the context of the example, only the global x-direction is examined and earthquake zone 3 and the subsoil conditions "A-R" according to DIN 4149 are assumed. For better comparability, the statistical combination method SRSS is used in all cases.





Structure and dimensions [m] Sum of the installed masses (global)

		Mx [t]	My [t]	Mz [t]
Volume masses Point masses Masses of LC	:	4888.313 5000.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000
Sum	:	9888.313	0.000	0.000

Top view with pier cross-section at the clamping point

#### Effective modal masses (global)

Mode shape	Mx eff [t]	My eff [t]	Mz eff [t]
1	6554.330	0.000	0.000
2	940.564	0.000	0.000
3	521.525	0.000	0.000
4	787.150	0.000	0.000
5	586.590	0.000	0.000
6	0.000	0.000	0.000
7	88.883	0.000	0.000
8	21.056	0.000	0.000
9	342.112	0.000	0.000
Sum: Part of the	9842.209	0.000	0.000
rare or the	100 [%]	0 [%]	0 [%]

As can be seen from the table, with 9 eigenmodes already 100 % of the eigenmasses are excited. This can therefore be regarded as a reference solution. The 1st and 2nd eigenmodes have an effective modal mass of 66.3 % and 9.5 %. The first two eigenmodes thus excite 75.8 % of the masses, which does not meet the standard requirement of 90 % excitation. With the assumed subsoil conditions "A-R", the plateau value of the response spectrum is only reached with the third eigenmode (T = 0.14 sec < TC). The 6th eigenmode is again below the plateau (T = 0.038 sec < TB).

#### Calculation settings for dynamic

Natural frequencies

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Effect of the masses in direction X	No.	Eigenvalue [1/s²]	rel. tol. [-]	omega [rad/s]	frequency [Hz]	periode [s]
around axis	1	1.8975e+00	4.9592e-23	1.377	0.219	4.561308
Eigenvalues	2	2.2315e+02	2.7791e-25	14.938	2.377	0.420613
Number of eigenvalues: 9	3	2.0408e+03	1.6256e-20	45.175	7.190	0.139085
Maximum vectorspace : 40	4	7.4138e+03	8.9772e-16	86.103	13.704	0.072973
Iteration accuracy : 1.00 [%]	5	1.5208e+04	1.8038e-13	123.322	19.627	0.050950
Response spectrum DIN 4149 (release 04/2005)	6	2.7683e+04	4.2009e-11	166.383	26.481	0.037763
Basic values of the soil acceleration	7	3.3484e+04	9.6951e-12	182.987	29.123	0.034337
ax: 0.800 [m/s²]	8	7.4012e+04	3.3768e-10	272.052	43.298	0.023096
ay: 0.000 [m/s²]	9	1.4252e+05	6.1725e-06	377.519	60.084	0.016643
az: 0.000 [m/s²]						
Angle of the reference system alpha: 0.00	[°]					
Soil behavior : A-R						
Reaction parameter S: 1.000 TB: 0.050	TC: 0.2	200 TD: 2.00	00			
Lehr's damping measure : 0.050						
Importance coeff. Gamma1: 1.400						
Behavior coefficient q: 1.500						

An oscillation time of 0.2 sec was assumed for the ZPA value. Thus the spectral value  $S_{a,ZPA}$  is assumed to be the same size as the plateau value of the response spectrum and thus fits well for oscillation times below 0.2 sec.



Eigenmode 1 Eigenmode 1+2 Eigenmode 1 to 9 Eigenmode 1+2PA Eigenmode 1+2Internal forces  $M_v$  [kNm]; Response spectrum overlaid, percentage deviation from the reference solution

As can be seen from the comparison of the internal forces due to the superimposed earthquake loads, the ZPA method with two eigenmodes provides a result similar to the reference solution with 9 eigenmodes. You can see that the ZPA method only approximates high-frequency eigenmodes well. This is one of the reasons for the remaining deviations.

## Pushover Analysis of a Four-storey Reinforced Concrete Frame

This example shows the procedure for a pushover analysis for a four-storey reinforced concrete frame. The example was selected based on the publication

OS Modeler – Examples of application, Version 1, University of Ljubljana, 2008, Matjaž Dolšek

From the results of the pushover analysis, the target displacement for a defined seismic load is determined. The target displacement is determined by the N2 method.

### Structural properties

The dimensions of the calculation model with the existing reinforcement quantities can be seen in the following figures. The material C25/30 according to EN 1992-1-1 (basic standard) was selected. The yield strength  $f_{\rm v}$  of all reinforcement is 500 MN/m<sup>2</sup>. The longitudinal reinforcement is strapped in all cross sections.



On the program side, the implemented method is divided into the calculation steps described under "Pushover calculation according to EN 1998-1:2013".

## **Dynamics**

In order to reach the storey masses examined in the example (1st floor 87 t, 2nd and 3rd floor 86 t, 4th floor 83 t), the masses involved in the vibration have been supplemented by the missing shares with Generate masses from loads.



#### Generate masses from loads

Load case factor 1 1 00

Extract from the listing Finite elements dynamics to control the considered masses (87 +2.86+83 = 342 t):

Sum of the installed masses (global)

		Mx [t]	My [t]	Mz [t]
Volume masses Point masses Masses of LC	:	0.000 0.000 0.000	192.180 0.000 149.888	192.180 0.000 149.888
Sum	:	0.000	342.068	342.068

For the subsequent pushover analysis i.a. horizontal static pseudo loads are required. These are applied in the appropriate direction to the mass points using the mass assignment and the basic modal form according to EN 1998-1, Annex B.

Settings			×	
EN 1992-1-1	EN 1992-2	EN 1993-1-1	EN 1995-1-1	
Analysis mode: Pushover analysis EN 1998-1:2013 ~ Effect of the masses		Eigenvalues Number: Sub space: Accuracy:     1   2   1   [%]		
In direction:	Y ⊻z Y ⊻z	Stiffness accordin	ig 2nd order (LC): ~	
Soil accelerations ax: 4.41 ay: Reference sys. angle alpha:	4.41 [m/s²]	Vertical distributio	n of the h-loads Constant x Constant y	
Coefficients of EN 1998-1:2013       Lehr 's damping measure:       0.05         Ground type:       Importance coefficient gamma I:       1         Response spectrum S:       1       TB:       0.15         V       TC:       0.6       TD:       2				
OK Cancel Help				

The dynamics setting dialogue requires beside the analysis mode the following information:

- *Effect of the masses*: In the example the structure should not swing in the x direction or around the y axis.
- *Eigenvalues*: Enough eigenvalues to get the basic model form in the y direction.
- Soil acceleration: In the example the masses were accelerated in the y-direction with 0.45·g = 4.41 m/s<sup>2</sup>.
- Coefficients of EN 1998-1:2013: The elastic response spectrum used in the example is defined by the shown values (S, T<sub>B</sub>, T<sub>C</sub>, T<sub>D</sub>,

*damping measure* and  $\gamma_I$ ).

- Vertical distribution of the h-loads: In the example a modal distribution of horizontal loads (modal y) is assumed. According to the standard, Section 4.3.3.4.2.2, additionally a constant distribution of the h-load (uniform acceleration response) is to investigate.
- Control node: By the standard the eigenmode at the control node (usually in the roof level) is to scale to 1. According to Section 4.3.3.4.2.3 and Annex B the control node is also used for calculating the equivalent mass  $m^*$  of an oscillator with a single degree of freedom, the displacement and capacity curves as well as the transformation coefficient  $\Gamma$ .

### Note:

The dynamic calculation can only be performed if on the settings page Statics the Nonlinear analysis is switched off.

### **Nonlinear Structural Analysis**

For the nonlinear pushover analysis, load cases are required which include both the static load components (G) and the horizontal load components (earthquakes) to be increased. The horizontal load components to be increased (*Modal.y* or *Const.y*) have already been determined within the dynamic calculation. They have to be assigned to load cases and the degree of load increase has to be defined. In general, the load components are initially defined in separate load cases and then summarized by a load group.

Import Loa	ad Data		×		
From <u>fi</u> le:	C:\Users\Public\Documents\Pushover.fem				
Const.y Modal.y					
				B B	
<u>N</u> umber:	Modal.y		OK		
<u>O</u> rigin:	Pushover horizontal loads $\qquad \lor$	Remove existing load data	Cancel	B B	

d case Eigenmode 1 and imported loads (Modal.y)

Import of horizontal static pseudo loads in a load case

Load Data	×
Nodal load (KNL)	
From node:	To node:
Px:	Py:
0 kN	
Pz:	Mx:
0 kN	0 kNm
My:	Mz:
0 kNm	0 kNm
Load-time function:	
1 - Load incr $ \smallsetminus $	OK Cancel

After import into a load case, the node loads still have to be provided with a load-time function, because only the horizontal node loads are to be increased in the context of the nonlinear analysis.



t 🗊 Example of the *load-time function* 

The defined increase in loads is not significant for the arc length method. The vertical loads (G) should be constant in all load levels.



Load case 3: Pushover, Modal.y



For the nonlinear pushover analysis, a load case 3 is defined by a load group in this example.

As the structure deforms greatly, the second-order theory is selected. To increase accuracy, the error threshold was set to 0.1 %.

In the earthquake situation, a characteristic G-load is generally assumed (load factor 1.0). The horizontal displacement of the control node should reach approximately 150 % of the target displacement according to Section 4.3.3.4.2.3.

In this example, the arc length method is used. The size of the h-loads reached depends heavily on the parameters of the arc length method in addition to the set factor for load case 2 *Modal.y.* The sign of the factor defines the load direction (here +y).

Furthermore, the *pushover* case matching the h-loads is to be selected (here *Modal y*).

In the settings for *Nonlinear analysis / serviceability* in addition to the selection of the load case and the *arc length* analysis method the following settings have been made:

- Start reinforcement: If Null is selected, the basic reinforcement of the reinforcing steel layers of the cross sections is used.
- *Concrete tensile strength*: This option defines the behaviour of the concrete in the tensile zone for all concrete cross sections. The comparison calculation assumed a concrete tensile strength to be applied.
- *Control*: The number of increments of 85 selected here must in conjunction with the other parameters of the arc length method be chosen so that a sufficient horizontal displacement of the control node is achieved.
- *Iterations per arc length:* To prevent the arc length becoming very small, the number has been increased to 10.
- *Initial arc length*: This has been limited to the top to get enough intermediate results in the load-displacement curve.

## Results

After the two calculation steps, in addition to the nodal deformations and internal forces per load level, the capacity curve in the multi-mass system and spectral representation is available. Their linearization is iterative according to EN 1998-1, Section B.5. The presented results are in very good agreement with the results of Matjaž Dolšek ( $m^* = 227.9$  t,  $\Gamma = 1.279$ , F = 1102 kN,  $T^* = 0.87$  s,  $d_t^* = 0.146$  m,  $q_u = 2.01$ ).



1200 F [kN] - Pushover capacity curve (control node 3, modal y)

## References

Bathe, K. J. Finite Elemente Methoden (Finite Element Methods). Springer Verlag, Berlin 1986. DIN 4149-1981 Buildings in german earthquake areas – Design loads, analysis and structural design of buildings. Publisher: DIN Deutsches Institut für Normung e.V., Beuth Verlag, Berlin 1981. DIN 4149.2005-04 Buildings in german earthquake areas – Design loads, analysis and structural design of buildings. Publisher: DIN Deutsches Institut für Normung e.V., Beuth Verlag, Berlin 2005. DIN EN 1998-1/NA:2021-07 National Annex – Nationally determined parameters – Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance – Part 1: General rules, seismic actions and rules for buildings. Publisher: DIN Deutsches Institut für Normung e.V., Beuth Verlag, Berlin 2021. Dolšek, M. OS Modeler – Examples of application, Version 1, University of Ljubljana, 2008 EN 1998-1:2004 + AC:2009 + A1:2013 Eurocde 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance – Part 1: General rules, seismic actions and rules for buildings. Publisher: CEN Europäisches Komitee für Normung, Brüssel, Beuth Verlag, Berlin 2013. Fajfar, P.; Fishinger, M. N2-a method for non-linear seismic analysis of regular buildings. Proc.9th World Conf. on Earthquake Engineering, Vol.V, Tokyo-Kyoto, 2-9 August 1988, pp. 277-287. Maruzen, Tokyo, 1989. Fajfar, P. A nonlinear analysis method for performance-based seismic design. Earthquake Spectra, August 2000, Vol. 16, No. 3, pp. 573-592. Earthquake Engineering Research Institute (EERI), Oakland 2000. Hughes, T. J.R. The finite element method, Prentice-Hall Int. Ed., New Jersey 1987. Lecture Notes in Engineering Finite Element Analysis for Engineering Design. Springer Verlag, Berlin 1988. Link, M. Finite Elemente in der Statik und Dynamik (Finite Elements in Statics and Dynamics). Teubner Verlag, Stuttgart 1984. Meskouris, K. Baudynamik (Structural Dynamics). Verlag W. Ernst & Sohn, Berlin 1999. Müller, F. P. Baudynamik (Structural Dynamics), Betonkalender Teil II. Verlag Ernst & Sohn, Berlin 1978. OENORM B 4015:2007-02 Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance -Part 1: General rules, seismic actions and rules for buildings -National specifications concerning ÖNORM EN 1998-1 and national comments. Publisher: Austrian Standards Institute, Vienna. Austrian Standards plus GmbH, Vienna 2007. Petersen C. Schwingungsdämpfer im Ingenieurbau, pp 269-277, Publisher: Maurer Söhne GmbH Co. KG, München 2001. Schwarz, H. R. Methode der finiten Elemente (Methods of Finite Elements). Teubner Studienbücher. Teubner Verlag, Stuttgart 1984. SIA 261:2020 Bauwesen Einwirkungen auf Tragwerke (Actions on structures). Swiss standard SN 505 261, Registered standard of the Swiss Association for Standardization SNV. Publisher: Schweizerischer Ingenieur- und Architektenverein, Zürich 2020. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide 1.92, Revision 3 -Combining Modal Responses and Spatial Components in Seismic Response Analysis -Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research. Publisher: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), NRC Washington D.C. 2012 Zienkiewicz, O. C. Methode der finiten Elemente, Studienausgabe (Methods of Finite Elements, Study Edition). Hanser Verlag, München 1984.

### InfoGraph GmbH

Kackertstrasse 10 52072 Aachen, Germany Phone: +49 241 889980 Fax: +49 241 8899888 info@infograph.eu www.infograph.eu

